

Family Name	Hinckley
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286481
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Hinckley
Given Name	John
Person ID	1286481
Title	JPA 37: West of Gibfield
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>In line with the numerous objections to the above proposal, be it on the GM consultation website or directly to yourselves by letter or of course the sentiments expressed on the many social media platforms, we too object strongly to the planning for 500 new houses and 45,500 sq. metres of industrial space in the area you have outline on your maps. An area once designated as a country park and area which is a much-needed green space on a current green belt area. The green belt areas within the extended region already under huge pressure from other outrageous planning opportunities, driven by the repugnant, misguided capitalistic values of today"s world.</p> <p>This application affects the lives of so many both those living on the so - called site and those living close by. The lives of the farmers it directly affects, the wellbeing of the residents and those who enjoy the open space for leisure, walking, enjoying wildlife, grazing cattle and horses. The natural habitat of so many beautiful and essential creatures, some you will be aware are endangered species.</p> <p>Turning our attention to the metropolisation of our once small characterful towns, Atherton and Westhoughton cannot be in the best interest of their respective populations. Definition is important in identity, a sense of belonging, pride in where we come from are truly important elements to a good life. In the north of the region, we are already under all sorts of pressure from new builds, the Hulton Park project is giving a real time insight into the horrors of such "development". Increases in pollution, be it noise or vehicle emissions. Dangers to the walkers, cyclists, joggers, runners, dog-walkers, horse riders of which there are many. Every one of them enjoy the freedoms and beauty of this region, in fact many will have not known anything else in their lifetimes. Many will have been enticed here to partake in such activity. All of this is under threat for the wrong reasons.</p> <p>Utilities are already stretched, water pressure is nowhere near an acceptable level, constant problems with broadband can only get worse with these</p>

proposals. Litter must be at an all time high. Policing is difficult at best. We don't have enough independent retail; independent leisure facility and the social structure of the towns suffers consequently. This proposal will put huge amounts of pressure on this important aspect of one's life here. Where are the plans for the commensurate number of Schools, Hospitals and Doctors surgeries to cope with the growth in population the proposal will cause?

Finally, for now, the road system cannot cope adequately with the current amount of traffic, let alone another 1000+ vehicles vying for road space. Should we even mention wear and tear - the pothole question?

We too urge you to reconsider this plan. There are many brown field alternatives in the Greater Manchester region. There are so many opportunities to reconfigure town centre working and living.